

(Counsel listed on next page)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOE LEWIS LUQUE AND HERMAN
RICHARDSON, Individually and On Behalf of All
Others Similarly Situated

Plaintiffs,

VS.

AT&T CORP., PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE CO. d/b/a AT&T CALIFORNIA, AND AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF CALIFORNIA, INC.,

Defendants.

| No. C-09-5885-CRB

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
TO ADOPT REVISED INITIAL CASE
MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE**

1 Counsel for Plaintiff Joe Lewis Luque and Herman Richardson:

2 THOMAS MARC LITTON (Cal. State Bar No. 119985)
3 SANFORD WITTELS & HEISLER, LLP
4 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820
5 San Francisco, California 94111
6 Telephone: (415) 421-4774
tlitton@nydclaw.com

7 STEVEN L. WITTELS (N.Y. State Bar No. 2004635)
8 DAVID W. SANFORD (N.Y. State Bar No. 457933)
9 ANDREW MELZER (N.Y. State Bar No. 4270682)
10 STANFORD WITTELS & HEISLER, LLP
11 1350 Avenue of the Americas, 31st Floor
12 New York, New York 10022
13 Telephone: (646) 723-2947
14 Facsimile: (646) 723-2948
swittels@nydclaw.com
dsanford@nydclaw.com
amelzer@nydclaw.com

15 MICHAEL RAM (Cal. State Bar No. 104805)
16 KARL OLSON (Cal. State Bar No. 104760)
17 RAM & OLSON LLP
18 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820
19 San Francisco, California 94111
20 Telephone: (415) 433-4949
21 Facsimile: (415) 433-7311
mram@ramolson.com
kolson@ramolson.com

22 EDMOND CLARK (Conn. State Bar No. 418915)
23 LAW OFFICE OF EDMOND CLARK
24 83 Scotland Avenue
25 Madison, Connecticut 06443
26 Telephone: (203) 245-4602
27 Facsimile: (203) 245-9734
Eclarkmadisonlaw@aol.com

1 Counsel for Defendants AT&T Corp., Pacific Bell Telephone Company, and
2 AT&T Communications of California, Inc.:
3

4 J. AL LATHAM, JR. (Cal. State Bar No. 071605)
5 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
6 515 South Flower Street, 25th Floor
7 Los Angeles, California 90071
8 Telephone: (213) 683-6000
9 Facsimile: (213) 627-0705
10 allatham@paulhastings.com

11 JEFFREY D. WOHL (Cal. State Bar No. 096838)
12 JEFFREY P. MICHALOWSKI (Cal. State Bar No. 248073)
13 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
14 55 Second Street, 24th Floor
15 San Francisco, California 94105-3441
16 Telephone: (415) 856-7000
17 Facsimile: (415) 856-7100
18 jeffwohl@paulhastings.com
19 jeffmichalowski@paulhastings.com

20 WILLIAM CORY BARKER (Georgia State Bar No. 037727)
21 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
22 600 Peachtree Street, N.E., 24th Floor
23 Atlanta, Georgia 30308
24 Telephone: (404) 815-2400
25 Facsimile: (404) 815-2424
26 corybarker@paulhastings.com

STIPULATION

Plaintiffs Joe Lewis Luque and Herman Richardson and defendants AT&T Corp., Pacific Bell Telephone Company (named in the complaint as "Pacific Bell Telephone Co."), and AT&T Communications of California, Inc., acting through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. This case has been brought as a collective action/class action under the Fair Labor Standards Act and California wage-and-hour law, and was commenced on December 16, 2009. (*See* Docket No. 1.)

2. Plaintiffs effected service of process on defendants on February 1, 2010.

3. The parties have stipulated that defendants' response to plaintiffs' complaint is due on March 24, 2010. (*See* Docket No. 16.)

4. When the action was commenced, the Court automatically set the following initial case management dates:

Event	Date
Last day to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> meet and confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan <input type="radio"/> file ADR Certification signed by parties and counsel <input type="radio"/> file either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference 	03/03/10
Last day to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> file Rule 26(f) report, complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) report <input type="radio"/> file case management statement 	03/17/10
Initial case management conference	03/24/10

(See Docket No. 2.)

5. Thereafter, in connection with the reassignment of the case from U.S. Magistrate Judge James Larson (to whom it originally had been assigned) to U.S. District Judge Charles R. Breyer, the

1 initial case management conference was rescheduled to March 26, 2010. (See Docket No. 21.)

2 6. After conferring about scheduling and defendants' need for additional time to prepare
 3 adequately for the initial disclosures, discovery plan, Rule 26(f) report, and initial case management
 4 statement, the parties have agreed upon and request the Court to adopt a revised initial case management
 5 schedule as follows:

Event	Date
Last day to: o meet and confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan o file ADR Certification signed by parties and counsel o file either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference	04/20/10
Last day to: o file Rule 26(f) report, complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) report o file case management statement	05/04/10
Initial case management conference	05/12/10

7 7. By entering into this stipulation, plaintiffs are not obligated to defer the timing of a motion for conditional collective action certification.

8 8. Based on the foregoing, the parties believe there is good cause and respectfully request the Court to adopt this revised case management schedule.

9 ///

10 ///

11 ///

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

15 ///

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

Dated: March 3, 2010.

THOMAS MARC LITTON
STEVEN L. WITTELS
DAVID W. SANFORD
ANDREW MELZER
SANFORD WITTELS & HEISLER, LLP

MICHAEL RAM
KARL OLSON
RAM & OLSON LLP

**EDMOND CLARK
LAW OFFICE OF EDMOND CLARK**

By: /s/ Steven L. Wittels

Steven L. Wittels
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Joe Lewis Luque and Herman Richardson

Dated: March 3, 2010.

J. AL LATHAM, JR.
JEFFREY D. WOHL
JEFFREY P. MICHALOWSKI
WILLIAM CORY BARKER
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

By: /s/ Jeffrey D. Wohl

Jeffrey D. Wohl
Attorneys for Defendants
AT&T Corp., Pacific Bell Telephone Company, and
AT&T Communications of California, Inc.

ORDER

On the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS ORDERED that the initial case management schedule previously ordered by the Court be and is hereby revised as follows:

Event	Current Date	New Date
Last day to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ meet and confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan ○ file ADR Certification signed by parties and counsel ○ file either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference 	03/03/10	04/20/10
Last day to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ file Rule 26(f) report, complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) report ○ file case management statement 	03/17/10	05/04/10
Initial case management conference	03/26/10	05/12/10 (at 8:30 a.m.)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that nothing in this initial case management schedule will preclude plaintiffs from filing a motion for conditional collective action certification prior to the initial case management conference.

Dated: March 5, 2010.

